

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,111	01/28/2004	Reza Oboodi	H0006378-1170	4420
Honeywell Inter	7590 01/19/200 ^r mational. Inc.		EXAMINER	
Law Dept. AB2			KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL	
P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962-9806			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
14101115104411, 145	5790 2 9000	•	3682	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/767,111	OBOODI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Justin Krause	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNT FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/28/04.				
·— ·	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	s is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	<u>.</u>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur					
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		· · · ——			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· .	en received in this National Stage			
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	8) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Motice of Control of the control	of Informal Patent Application			
. apo. 115(5).11an outo	- العادية ا	 ;			

Application/Control Number: 10/767,111

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6 and 10-24, drawn to a method for applying a solid lubricant coating to a substrate, and a method for forming a solid lubricant coating from a precursor material on a substrate surface, classified in class 427, subclass 376.1.
- II. Claims 7-9, drawn a foil bearing, classified in class 384, subclass 103.
- III. Claims 25-39, drawn to a method of making a precursor material and a precursor material, classified in class 508, subclass 165.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the processes as claimed can be used to make another, materially different product such as any device requiring a solid lubricant coating applied to a substrate.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the processes as claimed can be used to make another, materially different product such as any device requiring requiring a precursor material.

Inventions I and III are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different function. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,111

Art Unit: 3682

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,111

Art Unit: 3682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMK 1/12/07

> Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner